

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/525,808	03/15/2000	Athanasius A Anagnostou	5218-39C	9764	
20792 MYERS BIGE	7590 11/28/2007 EL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 37428			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
RALEIGH, NO	2/02/	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1643		
,					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/525,808 ANAGNOSTOU ET AL		ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher H. Yaen	1643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the company of	•			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	ctober 2007					
		action is non-final.					
3)	,_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>16,22,31-33,42 and 44-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16,22,31-33,42,44 and 47</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>45 and 46</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not i	received.				
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/07.		formal Patent Application				

09/525,808 Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Re: Anagnostou et al

The amendment filed 10/26/2007 is acknowledged and entered into the record. Accordingly, claims 1-15, 17-21,23-30,34-41, and 43 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 44-47 are newly added.

Claims 16,22,31-33,42, and 44-47 are pending and examined on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 10/26/2007 is acknowledged and considered. A signed copy of the IDS is attached hereto.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

09/525,808 Art Unit: 1643

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

The rejection of claims 16,22,33,42, and now newly added claim 47 under 35 USC § 103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments are substantially similar to those previously argued and rebutted in prior office actions. In this amendment, applicant primarily argues the new limitation of 700-2000 U/kg as not being suggested and taught in the prior art. However, claims 16,22,33,42,and 47 do not recite these new limitations and therefore the rejection is maintained. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

Claims 31-32, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. THIS IS A NEW MATTER REJECTION.

09/525,808 Art Unit: 1643

Claims 31-32. and c44 have been amended or newly added to include the limitation of administering an endothelial protecting amount of erythropoietin (herein EPO) in a dose range of 750-2000 Units/kg. Applicant points to page 12 for support of the newly added limitation. It appears that the claim and the specification are describing two different end results. In the claims, the methods are drawn to the reduction of endothelial cell damage caused by chemotherapeutics by administering a "endothelial protecting amount" EPO, which operates by a mechanism of reducing or preventing the suppression of growth caused by the administration of chemotherapeutics. The specification teaches that "EPO is administered in an endothelial-inhibiting amount which may range from about 750 U/kg to about 2,000 U/kg." (Emphasis added). The amount claimed is for an amount used in the inhibition of endothelial cells. This is clearly distinguished from the administration of EPO for the purpose of protecting. wherein the administration of EPO in the amount of 100-200 U/kg is used (see pg 12, lines 26-37, for example). Therefore, the use of a dosage of 700-2000U/kg is not supported in the specification as filed for the purposes of treating endothelial injury (i.e. promoting endothelial cell growth after chemotherapeutic administration) as claimed. Applicant is invited to point to clear support for the claimed limitation by pointing to specific page and line number

Claim Rejections Reinstated - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 16 and 33 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Silvestris *et al* (Ann. Hematol 1995 June; 70(6):313-318, previously cited) is maintained

Art Unit: 1643

for the reasons of record. This rejection was previously withdrawn in view of the amendments filed 6/27/2006. The claims as currently amended are anticipated by the cited reference because EPO was administered to patients undergoing second induction chemotherapy. Moreover, the reference teaches that the dosage of EPO was between 100U/kg and 300 U/kg. The rejection is maintained.

Claim Objections

Claims 45 and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim depend on a previously canceled claim (i.e. claims depend on claim 1 which is now canceled). Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/525808. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

09/525,808

Art Unit: 1643

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H. Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number:

09/525,808 Art Unit: 1643 Page 7

/Christopher Yaen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1643 November 15, 2007